

Does Philosophy of Mind have a History?

Paul Richard Blum (Palacký University Olomouc / Loyola University Maryland)

Abstract

One of the characteristics of analytic philosophy is its non-historical approach to its topics. Questions are being debated with the future in view, and if anything of the past is invoked then only to harvest it for the next step. My purpose is to disclose how analytic philosophical arguments constantly imply historicity and rely upon achievements of philosophy in the past. My point of departure is a paper by Emmett Holman in *Intellectual History Review* on "Panpsychism and the mind-body problem in contemporary analytic philosophy". I will point out when the arguments discussed are actually continuations from philosophical debates: the empirical vs. transcendence, body vs. soul, subject vs. object, and atomism

Keywords: Panpsychism, Philosophy of Mind, Problem History